Higher Order Clustering Statistics Towards the generalised BAO structure

CRISTIANO SABIU NUALA MCCULLAGH ISTVAN SZAPUDI SONG YONGSEON



KIAS, SEOUL - NOV 2 - 2016





- Background
 - Cosmic distances
 - Statistics
 - Theory and Systematics
- Model-independent estimates of cosmic distances
 - mitigating BAO systematics
 - utilizing the Alcock-Paczynski effect
 - The BAO ring
 - Higher dimensional BAO



Key observables in spectroscopic galaxy surveys:

(1) Angular diameter distance D_A

- Éxploiting BAO as standard rulers which measure the angular diameter distance and expansion rate as a function of redshift.

(2) Radial distance H⁻¹

- Éxploiting redshift distortions as intrinsic anisotropy to decompose the radial distance represented by the inverse of Hubble rate as a function of redshift.

$$H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m a^{-3} + (1 - \Omega_m) a^{-3(1+w)}},$$
$$D_A(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} r(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')},$$

(3) Growth Rate, f ($d\delta/d \ln a$)

- The coherent motion, or flow, of galaxies can be statistically estimated from their effect on the clustering measurements of large redshift surveys, or through the measurement of redshift space distortions.

Background



Distances are essential to test theoretical models explaining cosmic acceleration;

- ΛCDΜ
- Dynamical DE
- Non-Einsteinian gravity
- Holographic arguments
-
- 우주의 기운 (Choi Sun-Sil etal 2015)



BOSS: Survey Progress



BOSS July 2013 (Data Release 11)



Statistics: Correlation Functions

We want to evaluate the 2-point statistics of the over-density field, δ

We call this the two-point correlation function

Algorithmically calculate pair counts according to Landy-Szalay estimator

The probability of finding a galaxy in 2 volume elements separated by r

$$\langle \delta(x)\delta(x+r) \rangle_x$$

$$\xi(r) = \sum_{i} \frac{n_i(r)}{\bar{n}dV} - 1$$

$$\xi(r) = \frac{DD - 2DR + RR}{RR}$$

$$dP = n^2 \left[1 + \xi(r) \right] dV_1 dV_2$$

Statistics: Correlation Functions



Statistics: Anisotropic 2PCF





Bin pairs of galaxies into distances (σ, π) rather than just one distance, r.

Apart from the binning scheme the statistic is computed in the same way....

$$\xi(r) = \frac{DD - 2DR + RR}{RR}$$

If there are no preferred directions then the result would be perfectly circular iso-clustering contours in (σ, π) -space

Statistics: Anisotropic 2PCF

From ID -> 2D

Rin pairs of galaxies into distances





- 3 main contributions to anisotropic clustering:
- Non-linear, Fingers of God (FoG)
- Linear, Large Scale Velocities (Kaiser)
- Incorrect cosmological parameters
 - i.e. Alcock-Paczynski effect (AP)

Anisotropic 2PCF





Alcock-Paczynski Effect



We measure RA, Dec and Redshift for each galaxy. However we must choose a cosmological model to convert these positions into a cartesian comoving coordinate system.

Even without a standard ruler, we can measure the clustering along and perpendicular to the line of sight and thus constrain the combination of $D_A * H$



Alcock-Paczynski Effect



constrains the combination: $F(z) \equiv (1+z) D_A(z) H(z)/c$

However geometric distortions can be modeled exactly:

$$\begin{split} &\xi^{\text{fid}}(r_{\sigma}, r_{\pi}) &= \xi^{\text{true}}(\alpha_{\perp} r_{\sigma}, \alpha_{\parallel} r_{\pi}), \\ &\alpha_{\perp} = \frac{D_A^{\text{fid}}(z_{\text{eff}})}{D_A^{\text{true}}(z_{\text{eff}})}, \qquad \alpha_{\parallel} = \frac{H^{\text{true}}(z_{\text{eff}})}{H^{\text{fid}}(z_{\text{eff}})}, \end{split}$$





Pure Alcock-Paczynski Measure

10% variation

in D_A

100

120 140

140

120

100

80

60

40

20

20

40

60

80

 σ (h⁻¹Mpc)

π (h⁻¹Mpc)

Theoretically the geometric distortions of the AP effect can be modeled exactly:

$$\begin{split} \xi^{\rm fid}(r_{\sigma},r_{\pi}) &= \xi^{\rm true}(\alpha_{\perp}r_{\sigma},\alpha_{\parallel}r_{\pi}),\\ \alpha_{\perp} &= \frac{D_A^{\rm fid}(z_{\rm eff})}{D_A^{\rm true}(z_{\rm eff})}, \qquad \alpha_{\parallel} = \frac{H^{\rm true}(z_{\rm eff})}{H^{\rm fid}(z_{\rm eff})}, \end{split}$$

D_A, H vary peak positions off the BAO ring.

We want to avoid fitting the full shape of the anisotropic correlation function, as it depends on unknown systematic and physics, like scale dependent bias, etc.

A cleaner method would be to just measure the shape of the BAO ring.

We can do this by looking at many thin wedges in this 2D projection, i.e. many 'directionally constrained' I-D correlation functions.





 $\xi(r) \cdot r^2$

$$\xi_{\mu}(s) \times s^2 = A.s^2 + B.s + Ee^{-(s-D)^2/C} + F,$$

A simple function to approximate the shape of the correlation function We use a quadratic plus a gaussian, fitted over the range 80<r<180 Mpc

We care only about locating the BAO peak position. The centre of the gaussian is controlled by D.



r

[Mpc]

Simply we can fit an elliptic function to the obtained $D(\mu)$ and get a semi-major and minor distance defining an ellipse.

$$D(\theta) = \frac{D_{||}D_{\perp}}{\sqrt{\left(D_{||}\cos\theta\right)^2 + \left(D_{\perp}\sin\theta\right)^2}}$$

From this we constrain the two distances, $D_{//}$ along the line of sight and D_{\perp} across the line of sight.



$$D(\mu) = \frac{D_{\perp}.D_{||}}{\sqrt{(D_{\perp}.\mu)^2 + D_{||}^2(1-\mu^2)}}$$

$$H_{obs}^{-1} = H_{fid}^{-1} \frac{D_{||,fid}}{D_{||,obs}},$$
$$D_{A,obs} = D_{A,fid} \frac{D_{\perp,fid}}{D_{\perp,obs}}.$$

Next we create theoretical models that include different systematics and and observational effects.

In the fiducial case we obtain a simultaneous measurement of D_A and H^{-1}







3234.76 (0.00%)



Will certain systematic uncertainties effect our methodology to reliably estimate the peak location?

200



1395.18 (0.00 %)

1384.29 (-0.78%)

2.0 (fid)

2.5

200	FoG	variation
150		-
(Mbc) ⊭		
50		
	50 100	
	σ (Mpc)	150 200
$\sigma_v({ m Mpc})$	$D_A \ (Mpc)$	H^{-1} (Mpc)
2	1392.47 (-0.19 %)	3253.96~(~0.59%)
5 (fid)	1395.18 ($0.00~\%)$	3234.76~(~0.00~%)
8	1395.18 (0.00 %)	3234.76~(~0.00~%)
11	1397.99 ($0.20~\%)$	3166.40 (-2.11%)
15	1397.99 ($0.20~\%)$	3077.53 (-4.86%)



0.75

0.92

-0.77

-1.07

follows closely the σv induced anisotropy, so there will be some degeneracy.

minimal cosmological dependance

-0.68

-0.88

0.018

0.026

-0.65

-0.89

0.018

0.027

0.018

0.027



154

153

152└─ 0.0

0.2

 155.15 ± 0.51 Mpc and $D_{\perp} = 154.04 \pm 0.30$ Mpc that results in the following constraints; $D_A = 1399.71^{+2.71}_{-2.74}(0.32^{-0.20}_{+0.19}\%)$ and $H^{-1} = 3196.79^{+10.57}_{-10.44}(-1.17 \pm 0.32\%)$, where the percentage denotes the deviation from fiducial model.

I	$D_{ } =$	154	$.92^{+0.51}_{-2.29}$	Mpc	and	D_{\perp}	=	153.90^{+}_{-}	-0.25 -0.25
Mpc	with	σ_v	= 6	$5.8^{+2.0}_{-6.8}$	Mp	c, v	which	leads	to
D_A	=	140	$1.01^{+2.29}_{-2.20}$	$^{9}_{6}(0.42^{-}_{+})$	-0.17 $%$) a	and	H^{-1}	=
$3201.66^{+47.94}_{-10.39}(-1.02^{+1.48}_{-0.32}\%).$									

			μ			
Ω_{Λ}	0.62		0.68		0.73	
	α_i	${eta}_{m i}$	α_i	${eta}_{i}$	$lpha_i$	eta_{i}
0.08	-0.18	-0.004	-0.15	-0.004	-0.21	-0.004
0.25	0.21	-0.003	0.07	-0.002	0.10	-0.002
0.42	-0.17	0.002	-0.10	0.002	-0.09	0.002
0.58	-0.51	0.009	-0.47	0.010	-0.42	0.009
0.75	-0.77	0.018	-0.68	0.018	-0.65	0.018
0.92	-1.07	0.027	-0.88	0.026	-0.89	0.027

0.6

0.8

1.0

0.4

minimal cosmo dependance



Using 600 mock catalogues mimicking the BOSS survey

Modeling and marginalizing out the FoG systematic degrades the los BAO distance and hence H⁻¹. However is provides a less biased result.

obtain constraints on $D_A \& H^{-1}$ at the level of 2% and 5% resp.



Sabiu & Song (2016) arxiv:1603.02389





Figure from (Song etal <u>arxiv:1502.03099</u>), showing the expected constraints from using the power spectrum and bispectrum alone and in combination.

Slepian, Eisenstein etal detected the BAO structure in the isotropic 'averaged' 3PCF (arxiv:1607.06097)

The relations between two coordinates are give by,

 \sim

 r_1

 \mathbf{r}_3

D(Y1, Y2, Y3, V1, V2).

 θ_1

0

 $q_i = \alpha(\mu_i)k_i\,,$

and

$$v_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\alpha(\mu_i)} \frac{H^{\text{true}}}{H^{\text{fid}}},$$

where $\alpha(\mu_i)$ is defined by,

$$\alpha(\mu_i) \equiv \left\{ \left(\frac{D_A^{\text{fid}}}{D_A^{\text{true}}} \right)^2 + \left[\left(\frac{H^{\text{true}}}{H^{\text{fid}}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{D_A^{\text{fid}}}{D_A^{\text{true}}} \right)^2 \right] \mu_i^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

The cosine of angle between two vectors, $v_{ij} = (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j)/(q_i q_j)$, is given by,

n

$$\nu_{ij} = \left(\frac{D_A^{\text{fid}}}{D_A^{\text{true}}}\right)^2 \frac{\eta_{ij}}{\alpha(\mu_i)\alpha(\mu_j)} + \left[\left(\frac{H^{\text{true}}}{H^{\text{fid}}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{D_A^{\text{fid}}}{D_A^{\text{true}}}\right)^2\right] \frac{\mu_i \mu_j}{\alpha(\mu_i)\alpha(\mu_j)}.$$

Here, we define $\eta_{ij} = (\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j)/(k_i k_j)$.



: 3PCF

The anisotropic 3PCF

Using 400 Quick-Particle-Mesh (QPM) mock catalogues mimicking the BOSS DR12 CMASS survey

We calculate the 3PCF for equilateral configurations at different angles to the line-of-sight

When one side of the triangle lies close to the los we see the usual kaiser suppression.

This suppression disappears when looking at triangles that lie flat on the plane of the sky.



The generalized BAO membrane K

From the mean of the mock catalogues we determine the peak location as a function of angle

This forms a membrane in the (D, θ_1, θ_2) space

Distortions or warpings of the BAO membrane will inform us on about $D_A \& H^{-1}$



The 3-point BAO

Isotropic (angle averaged) equilateral 3PCF

Using SDSS DR12 CMASS North and South patches combined

We measure the isotropic 3PCF and determine the peak location

Error are from 400 QPM mocks





The 3-point BAO



Again using the DR12 CMASS Galaxies

I measure the anisotropic equilateral 3PCF

We again see a clear peak structure for various angular configurations

A first detection of the BAO structure in the anisotropic redshift-space 3-point correlation function

Anisotropic equilateral 3PCF



The BAO membrane



This is work in progress and will be completed soon.

Only thing left to do is fit the D_A & H⁻¹ by varying the peak points measured in DR12 to the simulated membrane structure.

Originally I thought that I could fit to a simulation template, however the 'off-peak' shifts must be modeled....

So I have to go to perturbation theory. Nuala McCullagh (Durham) is helping me on this



Conclusions



We wanted clean measurements of $D_A(z) \& H^{-1}(z)$ as they are fundamental quantities that describe the geometry and evolution of the background universe.

- we have measured the higher order BAO structure in the 3PCF of BOSS DRI2 galaxies
- soon we will extract $D_A(z) \& H^{-1}(z)$ measurements

Things to do.....

- Covariance estimation large number of measurement bins P(k,mu)
- Bi(k1, k2, k3, mu1, mu2)

- Theory - must model off-peak shifts for $D_A(z) \& H^{-1}(z)$. Maybe tree level is ok for BAO scales.

- Systematics - may be more severe than for 2-point statistics. Will same procedure as Ashley Ross etal work for 3PCF?

Extra slides.....

